

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,454	04/30/2001	Bharath Rangarajan	F0662	F0662 3018	
7590 09/19/2005			EXAMINER		
Himanshu S. Amin			TRAN, BINH X		
Amin & Turocy, LLP National City Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1900 E. 9th Street, 24th Floor			1765		
Cleveland, OH 44114			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/845,454	RANGARAJAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Binh X. Tran	1765		

	Binh X. Tran	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complet following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that the period for reply expires on: (2) 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replate of the final rejection. isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (by must be filed within the final rejection, whichever the final rejection.	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWI MIHTIWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exposure a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	saucing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-9,11,12,26 and</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-9,11,12 and 26.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13-24</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:		NADINE G. NORTO VISORY PATENT E	N XAMINER
	./h		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

M

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicants try to insert new limitations into the non-elected claims 13, 23, this raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants request rejoinder of the non-elected method claims pursuant to MPEP 821.04 and 37 CFR 1.121. According to the amendment, the method claims 13-24 now recite "all limitation of the allowed patentable product". The examiner disagrees. First, the amended method claim do not recites ALL limitations of the allowable patentable product. For example, the amended method claim do not have the limitation "to generate a feed-forward control data operative to control the at least one etching component" of the allowable product claim 1. Second, according the MPEP 821.04 "Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116. Process claims which do not depend from or otherwise include the limitations of the patentable product will be withdrawn from consideration, via an election by original presentation."

Binh X. Tran